1

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ORDER ~ 1

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jul 17, 2020

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SILVIA A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW M. SAUL, Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

No: 1:19-CV-03176-FVS

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION FOR REMAND

BEFORE THE COURT is the parties' Stipulated Motion for Remand pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). ECF No. 26. The Plaintiff is represented by Attorney D. James Tree. The Defendant is represented by Special Assistant United States Attorney Summer Stinson.

After consideration, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Stipulated Motion for Remand, ECF No. 26, is **GRANTED**. The Commissioner's final decision is reversed and remanded for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon remand to the Commissioner of Social Security, the Appeals Counsel should remand this case to the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) for a de novo hearing and a new decision. On remand, the ALJ shall (1) reassess the opinions of record, including those of Dr. Veraldi and Dr. Cooper, and

1 explain the weight afforded to each of these opinions; (2) reassess Plaintiff's 2 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

residual functional capacity consistent with Social Security Ruling 96-8p; (3) reevaluate Plaintiff's symptoms, consistent with 20 C.F.R. § 404.1529 and Social Security Ruling 16-3p; (4) ensure that the jobs cited at step five of the sequential evaluation process are consistent with Plaintiff's residual functional capacity; (5) if necessary, obtain vocational expert evidence; and (6) issue a new decision.

The parties stipulate that this case be reversed and remanded to the ALJ on the above grounds pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Therefore, the matter is remanded to the Commissioner for additional proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon proper presentation, this Court will consider Plaintiff's application for costs and attorney's fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d).

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 10, is DENIED as moot, given the parties' motion for remand.

The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this Order and provide copies to counsel, enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff, and CLOSE the file.

DATED July 17, 2020.

20

21

s/Fred Van Sickle Fred Van Sickle Senior United States District Judge